Open Phase Condition Initiative
March 2015, Revision 1

Goal

An open phase condition will not prevent functioning of important-to-safety structures, systems and components. An open phase condition is defined as an open phase, with or without a ground, which is located on the high voltage side of a transformer connecting a general design criterion (GDC) 17 off-site power circuit to the transmission system.

Objectives

- Operating nuclear power plant licensees demonstrate that important-to-safety functions remain available given an open phase condition or install plant modifications to detect and isolate from the open phase condition. If the open phase condition prevents the functioning of important-to-safety structures, systems, and components, the engineered safeguard buses should be transferred to an alternate source.
- New reactor licensees, combined license (COL) applicants and design centers for *active safety features plant designs* demonstrate that important-to-safety functions remain available given an open phase condition or install plant modifications to detect and isolate from the open phase condition. If the open phase condition prevents the functioning of important-to-safety structures, systems and components, the engineered safeguard buses should be transferred to an alternate source.

Criteria

Notes:

- Design features have not been developed to protect against an adverse open phase condition for all plant designs and configurations. This section will only address the criteria for dealing with an adverse open phase condition. The design features (if not yet available) will be developed to meet the criteria noted below.
- Based on recent operating experience, two open phases must be considered when addressing the criteria below. Thus, the term "open phase" in the remainder of this document will mean one or two open phases. Preliminary analysis shows that two open phases is not a limiting condition, but this must be documented.

<u>Detection, Alarms and General Criteria</u>

An open phase condition must be detected and alarmed in the control room unless it can be shown that the open phase condition does not prevent functioning of important-to-safety structures, systems and components. For example, some licensees believe they can show no impact due to

transformers that are oversized for their loading conditions. Sufficient "robust" calculational bases or tests must be provided to show that the open phase condition will not adversely affect important-to-safety equipment performance. Testing is preferred if this is possible without challenging on line or shutdown risk profiles.

If the licensee can demonstrate that the open phase condition does not prevent the functioning of important-to-safety structures, systems and components, then detection of the open phase condition should occur within a reasonably short period of time (e.g., 24 hours). The licensee must document how detection and correction of the open phase condition will occur.

Detection circuits for the open phase condition, which prevents the functioning of important-to-safety structures, systems and components, must be sensitive enough to identify an open phase condition for credited loading conditions (i.e., high and low loading).

> **Note**: It is recognized that some transformers have very low or no loading when in the standby mode. Automatic detection may not be possible in this condition; however, automatic detection must happen as soon as loads are transferred to this standby source. Additionally, if automatic detection is not possible, shiftily surveillance requirements must be established to look for evidence of an open phase.

If open phase condition actuation circuits are required, the design should minimize misoperation or spurious action that could cause separation from an operable off-site GDC 17 source. Additionally, the protective scheme should not separate the operable off-site GDC 17 source in the range of voltage unbalance normally expected in the transmission system. Licensees must demonstrate that the additional actuation circuit design does not result in lower overall plant operation reliability. These devices must be coordinated with other protective devices in both the transmission system and the plant's electrical system (e.g., fault protection, overcurrent, etc.).

Detection and actuation circuits may be non-Class-1E. While it is recognized that a Class-1E solution is preferable, a non-Class-1E solution may be more effective. A non-Class-1E solution will enable timely implementation and will provide reasonable levels of reliable functionality given the low likelihood of adverse impacts from open phase events. Additionally, there is regulatory precedent in using non-Class-1E circuits in newly identified nuclear plant vulnerabilities (e.g., anticipated transient without scram (ATWS) circuits). New non-Class-1E circuits will not be allowed to replace existing Class-1E circuits.

The Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) must be updated to discuss the design features and analyses related to the effects of, and protection for, any open phase condition design vulnerability. This update would typically be to chapter 8.

Protective Actions

If an open phase condition occurs[1], the following design requirements are to be satisfied:

1. With no accident condition signal present, the licensee must demonstrate that:
   1.1. The open phase condition does not adversely affect the function of important-to-safety structures, systems and components; or
   1.2. Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs) are maintained or the associated TS Actions are met without entry into TS LCO 3.0.3 (or the equivalent). This provision applies to TS equipment affected by the open phase condition (i.e., not just the specifications related to the off-site power source); and
   1.3. Important-to-safety equipment is not damaged by the open phase condition; and
   1.4. Shutdown safety is not compromised.

   Notes:
   - Provision 1.1 or provisions 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 must be maintained.
   - For operating modes where power is supplied from the main generator through unit auxiliary transformers, the licensee must evaluate provisions 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 assuming that the main generator is lost and loads must be transferred to the alternate source(s). Load transfer cases will include reactor trips with and without accident conditions present. The accident transfer cases are used for assessment of Criterion 2 below.
   - Operator action may be credited in the evaluation of provisions 1.3 and 1.4 if existing regulations and guidelines are met for the use of manual actions in the place of automatic actions.
   - Item 1.4 is intended to ensure that an open phase event will not challenge fuel cooling during hot shutdown, cold shutdown and refueling modes of operation. Power supplied to spent fuel pool cooling systems must also be considered. The limiting conditions will be those where power is supplied from a single source or an alternate source is used that does not have open phase protection (like a main power transformer back-feed source).
   - Provision 1.2 must consider situations where alternate sources are removed from service if allowed by the Technical Specifications.
   - If provision 1.1 or provisions 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 cannot be met with the existing plant design features, modifications will be required to provide automatic features to ensure the provisions can be met.

[1] For plants that have evaluated their specific designs and installations and have determined that there is no single credible failure that could cause an open phase condition, a full engineering evaluation must be developed and issued to document the basis for an open phase condition as a non-credible event. The Bruce Power and Forsmark operating experience must be considered as part of this analysis.

2. With an accident condition signal present, the licensee must demonstrate:
   2.1. Automatic detection and actuation will transfer loads required to mitigate postulated accidents to an alternate source and ensure that safety functions are preserved, as required by the current licensing bases.
   2.2. Alternatively, a licensee may show that all design basis accident acceptance criteria are met with the open phase condition, given other plant design features. Accident assumptions must still include licensing provisions associated with single failures. Typically, licensing bases will not permit consideration of the open phase condition as the single failure since this failure is in a non-safety system.

Note:

- It is not expected that accident analyses are updated when licensees add additional detection and mitigation circuitry. Actuation times needed to maintain equipment safety functions should be short enough to provide reasonable assurance that accident mitigation functions are maintained.

Periodic tests, calibrations, setpoint verifications or inspections (as applicable) must be established for any new protective features. The surveillance requirements must be added to the plant Technical Specifications if necessary to meet the provisions of 10CFR50.36. Further industry guidance will be provided for the development of Technical Specifications as the design features are identified.

Interim Actions *(Operating Plants)*

The Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) staff performed reviews of the industry action plans in response to the Level 2 INPO Event Report (IER) L2-12-14, "Automatic Reactor Scram Resulting from a Design Vulnerability in the 4.16-kV Bus Undervoltage Protection Scheme" and ensured that plant operators had identified compensatory actions needed to detect degraded off-site power sources due to open phase circuit conditions. INPO also ensured that plant operating procedures were either sufficient or actions were taken to enhance the procedures to help operators promptly diagnose and respond to open phase circuit conditions on off-site power supplies to Class-1E vital buses. The reviews were completed and satisfactory industry responses were received in the 4th Quarter 2012.

INPO Follow-up Actions *(Operating Plants)*

Starting in 1st Quarter 2014, the engineering evaluators will review all recommendation responses in IER L2-12-14 during the plant evaluations and verify that the recommendations are fully implemented or that there is a reasonable due date and plan to fully implement the recommendations. Evaluators will verify that the compensatory measures originally taken are still in place and being effective. Evaluators will also review progress made and the milestones developed for the long-term corrective actions to provide automatic protection from open phase circuit conditions for off-site power sources supplying Class-1E vital buses. They will also ensure a review and study of the station design basis and modeling has been conducted to obtain a complete

understanding of plant and equipment response following an open phase event. Also, the final station configuration will be reviewed to ensure that the probability of losing the off-site (preferred) and the on-site power source is not increased. The evaluator review and conclusion of IER recommendations will be documented in evaluation products.

Regulatory Requirements

GDC 17 provides criteria for the electric design of nuclear power plants for which a construction permit application was submitted after the Commission promulgated the GDC. The PSAR, FSAR and UFSAR document the implementation of the design criteria.

GDC 17 states:

> *An on-site electric power system and an off-site electric power system shall be provided to permit functioning of structures, systems, and components important to safety. The safety function for each system (assuming the other system is not functioning) shall be to provide sufficient capacity and capability to assure that: (1) specified acceptable fuel design limits and design conditions of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are not exceeded as a result of anticipated operational occurrences, and (2) the core is cooled and containment integrity and other vital functions are maintained in the event of postulated accidents.*
>
> *The on-site electric power supplies, including the batteries, and the on-site electric distribution system, shall have sufficient independence, redundancy and testability to perform their safety functions assuming a single failure.*
>
> *Electric power from the transmission network to the on-site electric distribution system shall be supplied by two physically independent circuits (not necessarily on separate rights of way) designed and located so as to minimize to the extent practical the likelihood of their simultaneous failure under operating and postulated accident and environmental conditions. A switchyard common to both circuits is acceptable. Each of these circuits shall be designed to be available in sufficient time following a loss of all on-site alternating current power supplies and the other off-site electric power circuit, to assure that specified acceptable fuel design limits and design conditions of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are not exceeded. One of these circuits shall be designed to be available within a few seconds following a loss-of-coolant accident to assure that core cooling, containment integrity and other vital safety functions are maintained.*
>
> *Provisions shall be included to minimize the probability of losing electric power from any of the remaining supplies as a result of, or coincident with, the loss of power generated by the nuclear power unit, the loss of power from the transmission network, or the loss of power from the on-site electric power supplies.*

Pre-GDC plants have their principal design criteria specified in their UFSAR.

Schedule for Operating Plants (assumes License Amendments are not required to install any design changes)

May 15, 2013

NSIAC endorsement of the industry direction to resolve the open phase condition issue. **Complete.**

July 31, 2013

Draft NEI Initiative (what is required; industry criteria to address the open phase condition issue). **Complete.**

Aug. 31, 2013

NSIAC approval of the NEI Initiative by a vote of 80% of CNOs. **Complete.**

Dec. 31, 2013

Draft NEI guidance document (how to meet industry criteria; containing industry research, developments, pilots, technology, etc. to address the open phase condition issue).

Dec. 31, 2014

Demonstration of compliance with the open phase condition criteria through analysis or identify appropriate actions required to demonstrate compliance.

Dec. 31, 2018

Implementation of design changes, if necessary, to comply with the open phase condition criteria. The "active" actuation features of new technology designs may be installed in a monitoring mode, with adequate justification, to demonstrate reliability.

If a monitoring period was deemed necessary, completion of any design adjustments identified during the monitoring period and enabling all "active" actuation features needed to demonstrate compliance with the open phase condition criteria.

UFSAR Updates – Completion in conjunction with the timelines noted above, but no later than December 31, 2018.

Technical Specification Updates – Submitted by December 31, 2018, if required. If a TSTF Traveler is available, submitted within six months of issuance of an NRC approved TSTF Traveler.

Note: If Technical Specification updates are required for modification implementation, the schedule is expected to change based on NRC required review times; however, the station schedule should be maintained as closely as possible with the timelines noted above.

Schedule for New Reactors

COL Licensees

Complete design changes and plant modifications, as needed, prior to fuel load.

COL Applicants

Describe design features in the FSAR, if change to certified design is required.

Design Centers

Provide design features in the Design Control Document/FSAR.